High Performance Graphics 2013 - 7/19/13

Out-Of-Core Construction of Sparse Voxel Octrees

Jeroen Baert, Ares Lagae & Philip Dutré

Computer Graphics Group, KU Leuven, Belgium



Voxel-related research

- Voxel Ray Casting
 - Gigavoxels (Crassin, 2009-...)
 - Efficient SVO'S (Laine, Karras, 2010)
- Voxel Cone Tracing
 - Indirect Illumination (Crassin, 2011)
- Voxel-based Visibility
 - Voxelized Shadow Volumes (Wyman, 2013, later today!)



Why voxels?

- Regular structure
- Hierarchical representation in Sparse Voxel Octrees (SVO's)
 - Level of Detail / Filtering
- Generic representation for geometry and appearance
 - In a single data structure



Polygon mesh to SVO

- We want large, highly detailed SVO scenes
- Where do we find content?
- Let's voxelize massive polygon meshes
 - Majority of current content pipelines is polygon-based



What do we want?



Algorithm requirements:

- Need an out-of-core method
 - Because polygon mesh & intermediary structures could be >> system memory
- Data should be streamed in/out
 - from disk / network / other process
- Ideally: out-of-core as fast as in-core

Pipeline construction (1)



- Voxelization step
 - Polygon mesh → Voxel grid
- Followed by SVO construction step
 - Voxel grid → Sparse Voxel Octree

Pipeline construction (2)



Polygon Mesh

Sparse Voxel Octree

- Key insight:
 - If voxel grid is Morton-ordered
 - SVO construction can be done **out-of-core**
 - Logarithmic in memory usage ~ octree size
 - In a streaming manner
 - So voxelization step should deliver ordered voxels

Pipeline construction (3)



Polygon Mesh

Sparse Voxel Octree

- High-resolution 3D voxel grid may be >> system memory
 - So partitioning step (into subgrids) is needed
 - Seperate triangle streams for each subgrid



Final Pipeline



Polygon Mesh

Sparse Voxel Octree

• Now, every step in detail ...

Morton order / Z-order

- Linearization of n-dimensional grid
 - Post-order depth-first traversal of 2ⁿ-tree
- Space-filling curve, Z-shaped



Morton order / Z-order

- Hierarchical in nature
- Cell at position (x,y)
 - → Morton code
 - Efficiently computed
 - -(x,y,z) = (5,9,1)
 - \rightarrow (0101,1001,0001)
 - \rightarrow 010001000111
 - \rightarrow 1095th cell along Z-curve



Partitioning subprocess



Polygon Mesh

Sparse Voxel Octree

- Partitioning (1 linear pass)
 - Into power-of-2 subgrids until it fits in memory
 - Subgrids temporarily stored on disk
 - Subgrids correspond to contiguous range in Morton order
- If we voxelize subgrids in Morton order, output will be Morton-ordered

Voxelization subprocess (1)



Polygon Mesh

Sparse Voxel Octree

- Voxelize each subgrid in Morton order
 - Input: Subgrid triangle stream
 - Each triangle voxelized independently
 - Output: Morton codes of non-empty cells
 - Typically, majority of grid is empty

Voxelization subprocess (2)

- We use a simple voxelization method
 - But any method that works one triangle at a time will do



Out-of-core SVO Construction



- Input: Morton-ordered voxel grid
- Output: SVO nodes + referenced data

- Required: queues of 2^d nodes / octree level
 - Ex: 2048³ grid \rightarrow 11 * 8 octree nodes-l



- Read Morton codes $0 \rightarrow 3$ (+ voxel data)
 - Store them in level 2 queue
 - Level 2 queue = full



- Create internal parent node
 - With level 1 Morton code 0
 - Store parent-child relations
 - Write non-empty level 2 nodes to disk+clear level 2



- Read Morton codes $4 \rightarrow 7$ (+ voxel data)
 - Store them in level 2 queue



- Create internal parent node
 - With level 1 Morton code 1
 - Store parent-child relations (there are none)
 - Write non-empty level 2 nodes to disk+clear level 2



• Same for Morton codes $8 \rightarrow 11$



• Same for Morton codes $12 \rightarrow 15$



- Now level 1 is full
 - Create parent node (root node)
 - Store parent-child relations
 - Write non-empty level 1 nodes to disk+clear level 1



SVO Construction: optimization

- Lots of processing time for empty nodes
 - Sparseness = typical for high-res voxelized meshes
- Insight for optimization
 - Pushing back 2^d empty nodes in a queue at level n

= Pushing back 1 empty node at level n-1



SVO Builder queues

SVO Construction: optimization

- Implementation details in paper
- Optimization exploits sparseness of voxelized meshes
- Speedup: two orders of magnitude
 - Building SVO from grid:
 - David: 471 vs 0.55 seconds
 - San Miguel: 453 vs 1.69 seconds

Results: Tests

- Resolution: 2048³
- Memory limits
 - 8 Gb (in-core)
 - 1 Gb (out-of-core)
 - 128 Mb (out-of-core)
- Models
 - David (8.25 M polys)
 - San Marco (7.88 M polys)
 - XYZRGB Dragon (7.2 M polys)



Results: Out-Of-Core performance

- Out-Of-Core method = ~ as fast as In-Core
 - Even when available memory is 1/64



Voxelization + SVO Construction - 2048^3

Results: Time breakdown

Partitioning speedup from skipping empty space



Results: Extremely large models

- 4096³ In-core: 64 Gb
- Atlas model
 - 17.42 Gb, 507 M tris
 - < 11 min at 1 Gb
- St. Matthew model
 - 13.1 Gb, 372 M tris
 - < 9 min at 1 Gb



Results: SVO Construction

- SVO output stream
 - Good locality of reference
 - Nonempty siblings on same level always stored next to each other
 - Nodes separated from data itself (separation hot/cold data)
 - Using data pointers + offsets as reference

Appearance

- Pipeline: binary voxelization
- Extend with appearance data?
 - Interpolate vertex attributes (color, normals, tex)
 - Propagate appearance data upwards



- Global data access ↔ Out-Of-Core algorithms
 - Multi-pass approach

Conclusion

- Voxelization and SVO construction algorithm
 - Out-of-core as fast as in-core
 - Support for extremely large meshes
- Future work
 - Combine with GPU method to speed up voxelization
 - Handle global appearance data

Thanks!

- Source code / binaries will be available at project page
- Contact
 - jeroen.baert @ cs.kuleuven.be
 - @jbaert
- Acknowledgements:
 - Jeroen Baert funded by Agency for Innovation by Science and Technology in Flanders (IWT), Ares Lagae is a Postdoctoral Fellow of the Research Foundation – Flanders (FWO), David / Atlas / St. Matthew models : Digital Michelangelo project, San Miguel model : Guillermo M. Leal Llaguno (Evolucien VIsual)



